Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		
	10/830,148	GRACE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O. Savage	1797
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Matthew O. Savage.	(3)	
(2) <u>Duane M. Byers</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 February 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4, 24, and 58		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature - if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/830,148

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend the specification to provide antecedent basis for the reversed "L" shape and the elongate L shape. It was agreed that the J shape and the reversed L shape were the same and shown in FIGS. 3-10, and that the elongated J shape and reversed elongated L shapes were the same and shown in FIGS. 11-15. Agreed to amend claims 4, 25, and 58 to eliminate "or other" which was considered indefinite and to clearly recite J shape, elongated J shape, reverse L shape, and elongated reverse L shape in the alternative. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.